

REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing and still further reexamination and reconsideration of the subject application, as proposed to be amended, are respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1, 4-21 and 23 remain in this application.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's holding that the 35 U.S.C. § 112 second and first paragraph rejections have been obviated, the allowability of Claims 1 and 4 and the rejoinder of the remaining claims (5-21 and 23) and withdrawal of the previous restriction requirement.

Claims 5-23, of which Claims 5-21 and 23 are in the application, have been examined and rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. The Examiner has criticized the expression "at least one" as being unclear. While applicant disagrees with the Examiner's position because no difference in scope between "at least one" and "one or more" is believed to exist, the claims have been amended to use the Examiner's suggested language.

In addition, a typographical error in Claim 1 has been corrected and two of the chemical names in Claim 11 have been corrected. These names were correct originally in Claim 11 but due to a computer error 4N and 2, 2N were erroneously inserted in place of 4' and 2, 2' in the May 30, 2006 amendment and the errors went unnoticed until now.

Further, a few grammatical changes and corrections to include proper Markush language have been made in Claims 12 and 13.

No new matter has been added and no new issues have been raised. Entry of the amendment and allowance of all of the claims are believed to be next in order and are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: December 21, 2006

By: Mary Katherine Baumeister
Mary Katherine Baumeister
Registration No. 26254

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
1737 King Street, Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314-2727
703 836 6620